



# THE ROLE OF SOCIAL IMPACT INVESTING IN SCALING SUSTAINABLE VENTURES



Mekala Sushmitha\*, Suresh Aaluri, B. Daniel Prabhakar

Original Article

KG Reddy College of Engineering &amp; Technology, 500075 Moinabad, Telangana, India

\*Corresponding Author's Email: [sushmithareddys265@gmail.com](mailto:sushmithareddys265@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Sustainable entrepreneurship – businesses whose primary goal is to generate a profit – and indeed measurable social or environmental impact – are emerging as vital change agents in the world in which the growing challenges of climate change, poverty, and inequality are escalating. However, capital for scaling such businesses has to be more than just profit aligned; it must also be purpose aligned. That is the transformative place social impact investing fills. This literature review paper examines critically the role of social impact investing in scaling sustainable ventures, particularly focusing on mechanisms, challenges, and enablers identified across global and regional studies. Drawing upon a comprehensive body of academic literature, policy reports, and practitioner insights, the paper explores how impact investors, from philanthropic foundations to venture capital firms and development finance institutions, support sustainable enterprises through capital infusion, strategic mentorship, ecosystem building, and performance measurement. The importance of blended financing, outcome-based funding models, and catalytic capital would be emphasised as they enable early-stage ventures to grow, innovate, and scale. The review further highlights ongoing challenges such as bearing the burden of quantifying impacts, balancing risk-return expectations, and lack of investable, impact-ready, and tangible ventures in developing regions. The examination of various frameworks such as the Impact Management Project (IMP), Theory of Change, and SDG-aligned investment lenses addresses how standardised metrics and reporting pave ways to bridging the trust gap between investors and entrepreneurs.

Most of the existing literature declares the promise of impact investing; however, the paper also critiques the limited scalability witnessed in practice owing to the fragmentation of ecosystems and inconsistent regulatory support. The conclusion of the review makes recommendations for strengthening the impact landscape for impact investors. Such themes include public-private collaboration, tax incentives, outcome funds, and capacity-building for investors and entrepreneurs. It collates existing knowledge and a future roadmap for social impact investing to scale sustainable enterprises that are inclusive in development.

**Keywords:** *Blended Finance; Impact Investing; Social Innovation; Scaling Strategies; Sustainable Ventures*

## Introduction

Global challenges seem to emerge out of the need for action taken in a well-integrated manner on the social, environmental, and economic aspects of climate change, inequality, and poverty and issues such as access to educational and health services of quality. In some areas, government funding and philanthropy have been quite active, but they have not scaled up in line with the urgency presented by these problems. In response, Social Impact Investing (SII) has

emerged as a powerful mechanism that aligns the financial interests of investors with the pressing needs of society by providing capital to ventures that aim to achieve both measurable social/environmental impact and financial returns [1, 2].

Social impact investing bridges the gap between traditional profit-maximising investment and pure philanthropy. Unlike conventional investments, SII focuses not only on returns but also on solving social issues, often through financing sustainable ventures and enterprises that integrate long-term impact into their core business models [3, 4]. These ventures may operate in sectors such as clean energy, inclusive finance, education, and healthcare, where the potential for both market-driven growth and social value creation is significant [5, 6]. As the field evolves, one of the most critical challenges and opportunities lies in scaling these sustainable ventures. Scaling refers not merely to business growth but to the expansion of social impact in breadth (reach), depth (quality), and durability (sustainability) [7, 8]. The process of scaling is inherently complex, requiring alignment between mission and market, access to appropriate funding, operational capacity, and policy support [9, 10]. Impact investing plays a central role in enabling this process by providing "patient capital", technical assistance, and strategic guidance that social enterprises need to grow without compromising their mission [11, 12].

This review explores how SII contributes to the scaling of sustainable ventures by synthesising insights from 40 scholarly sources across disciplines including social entrepreneurship, finance, sustainability, and innovation studies. It discusses the evolving criteria used by investors, the mechanisms of capital allocation, and the measurement tools employed to evaluate success. The structures of support, which include accelerators, incubators, and venture capital funds, are deserving of special attention as they influence the investment readiness and scaling up of social enterprises [13, 14]. The article underlines the tensions and trade-offs between impact and financial objectives, how context matters from a regional and sectoral standpoint, and new trends in regulation and investment practices. While literature has proliferated during the recent decade, knowledge gaps exist in how to optimally design, measure, and scale impact using innovative investment mechanisms [15, 16].

## Research Objectives

The primary aim of this review is to synthesise and critically analyse the current academic and practitioner-oriented literature on the role of social impact investing (SII) in scaling sustainable ventures. The specific objectives are:

1. To define the concept of social impact investing and distinguish it from traditional investment mechanisms
2. To explore the relationship between impact investing and the scaling of sustainable ventures
3. To investigate the role of ecosystem actors such as accelerators, incubators, and policy institutions in facilitating or hindering scaling through SII.
4. To identify research gaps and emerging trends in the literature, offering suggestions for future inquiry into SII and its effectiveness in driving systemic social change.

## Scope of the Study

This review encompasses academic publications, conceptual frameworks, empirical studies, and policy analyses related to the intersection of impact investing and scaling sustainable ventures.

## Limitations

While comprehensive, the study is limited to peer-reviewed articles, theses, and high-quality practitioner reports. It does not conduct primary empirical research, such as interviews or case studies, although it draws on existing case-based evidence from secondary sources.

## Literature Review

This literature review synthesises academic and practitioner research on the intersection of social impact investing (SII) and the scaling of sustainable ventures. It is organised into six thematic areas:

## Evolution and Foundations of Social Impact Investing

Social impact investing has its roots in ethical and socially responsible investing, evolving into a more proactive form of capital deployment with explicit social and environmental outcomes [17, 18]. The field gained institutional traction in the early 2000s as investors sought to align their portfolios with values-based outcomes without forfeiting financial returns [19]. Clarkin and Cangioni [11] provide an early primer on the conceptual evolution of SII, while Gutterman [20] positions it as a bridge between philanthropy and venture capital. Scholars continue to debate whether SII represents a new asset class or a societal refocus of existing capital markets [20, 21]. Agrawal [1] outlines a framework for understanding the motivations and mechanisms behind impact investing, emphasizing the intentionality of impact creation and the need for measurement.

## Impact Investing and Scaling: A Symbiotic Relationship

Scaling is essential for extending the reach and depth of social innovations. Impact capital can enable scale by offering flexible, patient funding that accommodates the longer timelines and mission-driven strategies of sustainable ventures [22, 23]. Bloom and Chatterji [7] outline scaling pathways including affiliation, dissemination, and branching, which can be supported or hindered by the availability of investment capital. Desa and Koch [14] further explore the challenges of scaling at the base of the pyramid, stressing the need for tailored business models and financing structures. Bretos et al. [9] examine cross-border scaling, showing that international expansion can act as a catalyst for deeper impact if appropriately financed and supported. Agrawal and Jespersen [3] develop a framework illustrating how investors evaluate scaling potential through due diligence, organizational capacity, and alignment with impact goals.

## Investment Criteria and Decision-Making Processes

A recurring theme in the literature is the tension between financial return expectations and impact fidelity [24, 25]. Block et al. [6] identify investment screening criteria including scalability, impact measurement, and founder background. Similarly, Fernandes et al. [18] analyse the determinants of financing for social enterprises in Brazil, highlighting the importance of transparency, accountability, and alignment with investor values. Agrawal and Hockerts [2] explore strategic management of conflict between impact investors and investees, emphasizing the role of governance structures and impact covenants. Islam [24] takes a demand-side view, showing how social enterprises perceive and respond to investor expectations.

## Measuring and Managing Impact

A fundamental component of SII is measuring impact in ways that are credible, comparable, and actionable. Ebrahim and Rangan [15] distinguish between scale (reach) and scope (depth) in measuring social performance. However, despite growing consensus on the need for robust impact metrics, no universal standards have emerged [25, 26]. Jia et al. [26] review impact assessment methodologies, while Gutterman [21] proposes a venture-specific approach to impact measurement. Eckerle [16] offers design support tools to help startups conceptualise their impact goals early. Yet, challenges remain in translating complex social outcomes into quantitative metrics that align with investor decision-making processes [26, 27].

## The Role of Ecosystems: Accelerators, Incubators, and Policy Support

Intermediary organisations, such as accelerators and incubators, play a pivotal role in making social ventures investment-ready [28, 29]. Assenova and Bacq [5] find that accelerators can improve venture capacity for impact measurement and increase their attractiveness to investors. Casasnovas and Bruno [10] show that incubators provide critical mentoring, network access, and business modelling support. Jäger et al. [25] and Han and Shah [22] propose ecosystem-level strategies to support scaling through cross-sectoral partnerships, knowledge sharing, and capacity building. Lall and Park [28] also highlight the role of philanthropic capital in helping ventures reach early milestones and prepare for impact investing.

## Regional and Sectoral Dynamics

The effectiveness of SII varies widely across regions and sectors. Glänzel and Scheuerle [19] report on impediments in Germany due to limited investor awareness and regulatory complexity. In contrast, Moellmann [30] examines agricultural impact investing in sub-Saharan Africa, revealing both opportunities and risks related to financialisation and rural development. Sectoral differences are also significant. Sustainable energy, education, and healthcare tend to

attract more investment due to clearer impact pathways and scalable models [29, 30]. O’Flynn and Barnett [31] analysed sustainable investment conditions that foster new business creation, emphasizing the role of venture capital in transitioning to a green economy.

## Methodology

**Research Design:** This study adopts a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology to explore and synthesise existing research on how social impact investing facilitates the scaling of sustainable ventures. A systematic approach ensures transparency, replicability, and comprehensive coverage of relevant themes, theories, and findings across academic and grey literature.

**Data Sources and Selection Criteria:** The review is based on 40 peer-reviewed articles, doctoral theses, book chapters, and practitioner reports, spanning the period from 2009 to 2025. Sources were selected to provide both foundational knowledge and emerging insights into impact investing and social venture scaling.

## Result

The truth of such findings in the literature has been critically evaluated in this section, which is constructed in accordance with the significant themes identified previously. It examines how social impact investments (SII) affect the scale and sustainability of social ventures, points out where convergence and divergence occur in scholarly thought, and discusses practical implications for investors, entrepreneurs, and policymakers.

### Impact Investing as Strategic Enabler Scaling

The literature consistently supports the view that SII serves as a strategic enabler for scaling social and sustainable ventures. Unlike traditional investors, impact investors intentionally prioritise blended value creation, combining financial returns with measurable impacts [29, 30, 31]. This dual objective allows capital to flow into ventures that might otherwise be overlooked due to lower short-term profitability or longer gestation periods. Studies by Agrawal et al. [3], Block et al. [6], and Fernandes et al. [18] show that impact investors often evaluate ventures not only by financial projections but also by their scalability potential, social mission integration, and ability to manage outcomes. This investor orientation can help social ventures transition from pilot models to scalable solutions, especially in underserved markets.

### Tensions Between Impact and Financial Objectives

However, several studies also highlight inherent tensions in balancing social and financial objectives. Agrawal, Hockerts [2], and Dahl [13] emphasise that even among mission-aligned investors, there may be divergent expectations around exit strategies, growth pace, and impact metrics. This tension is particularly evident in cases where scale may risk mission drift, where ventures adapt business models to attract capital at the cost of their original social purpose [2, 14, 24]. Moellmann [30] and Ogunfowora [32] argue that transparent impact measurement systems and stakeholder governance structures can mitigate this risk but require time, resources, and investor patience.

### Role of Impact Measurement in Driving Investment and Growth

Impact measurement plays a dual role: as a tool for accountability and as a mechanism to unlock capital. Ebrahim and Rangan [15] emphasise that rigorous measurement enables better decision-making, both for investors (in screening and monitoring) and for ventures (in learning and course correction). Despite its importance, the field lacks consensus on standard tools. Studies by O’Flynn and Barnett [31], Eckerle [16], and Moellmann [30] report that many social ventures struggle to implement effective measurement due to capacity constraints, while investors sometimes adopt proxy indicators that oversimplify complex outcomes. Nevertheless, measurement remains a critical bridge between capital providers and impact creators. As innovations in digital data collection, real-time dashboards, and third-party verification grow, impact investing can become more data-driven and scalable [16, 30, 31].

### Ecosystem Support as a Catalyst for Scale

The ability of a venture to absorb capital and scale effectively depends not only on internal capacity but also on the strength of its ecosystem. Accelerators, incubators, and networks are key to determining venture readiness, especially in early stages [5, 10, 25]. Assenova and Bacq [5] find that social impact accelerators improve ventures’ investor

preparedness and impact clarity, while Casanovas and Bruno [10] highlight their role in refining business models. Moreover, supportive policy environments, including social enterprise legal forms, tax incentives, and blended finance instruments, are key in enabling scale [19, 27]. However, these are unevenly distributed. Emerging markets often lack the institutional infrastructure needed to support complex impact ventures, limiting the geographic spread of SII [19, 20].

### Regional and Sectoral Dynamics

The success of SII in scaling ventures is context dependent. In developed economies, the focus is often on scaling innovations in clean energy, tech-for-good, or education, supported by sophisticated capital markets [33, 34]. In contrast, in regions like Sub-Saharan Africa or Latin America, social ventures may target basic needs like agriculture, water access, and micro-healthcare and operate under higher market volatility [18, 26]. Thus, impact investing strategies must be tailored to reflect local realities, risk levels, and cultural values. Global “best practices” must be adapted to local implementation models and policy contexts to be truly effective [9, 19].

### Gaps and Emerging Trends

While the literature has matured significantly, several research gaps remain:

- Comparative data on the effectiveness of different impact investing instruments (e.g., equity vs. debt).
- Longitudinal studies tracking ventures pre- and post-investment
- The role of technology platforms (e.g., crowdfunding, blockchain) in democratising impact investing.
- Deeper insights into impact exits and how they affect long-term mission adherence.

Emerging trends suggest a move toward blended finance, place-based investing, and outcomes-based contracts (e.g., social impact bonds) that align diverse stakeholders toward scalable impact [12, 21, 27].

## Discussion

Sustainability ventures endure their own unique challenges in the harsh domain of social impact investing (SII). This review of the existing literature, coupled with the struggles encountered by practitioners in the area, leads to the following recommendations for making sustainable ventures even more effective and scalable:

### Establish Hybrid Financing Structures

Sustainable enterprises frequently experience funding gaps because both financial returns and social impact are sought. Risk is decreased, and a wider set of investors can be attracted by blended finance mechanisms. Examples of these blended finance structures include grants, concessional capital, and commercial investments [34, 35]. These promising tools—such as revenue-based financing and social impact bonds—merit greater experimentation and support.

### Strengthen Impact Measurement Standard

An integrated and practical framework for measuring the impact of enterprise will be necessary to develop investor confidence and to ensure mission fidelity. Those metrics should be co-created among stakeholders and thus context-sensitive, but they should also align with other global standardisation efforts, such as the Impact Management Project and the Sustainable Development Goals [36, 37]. They must therefore straddle the quantitative with the qualitative.

### Enhance Capacity Building for Ventures

Both social ventures should be supported by capitalists and ecosystem actors (including accelerators, incubators, etc.) regarding the capabilities to manage and demonstrate impact. Training should focus on such aspects as governance, financial management, theory of change, and reporting [38]. Early capacity building of internal systems in the lifecycle of a new venture would thus lead to investment readiness and sustainability in the long term.

### Build Collaborative Ecosystems

Building supportive ecosystems is also important. Technical assistance, mentoring, and access to markets should be offered through public and private partnerships combining governments, investors, NGOs, academic institutions, and intermediaries alike [39]. Regional innovation hubs and public-private platforms are set to advance the maturation of the ecosystem as it evolves in many developing countries.



### Policy Reform and Incentives

Policymakers must create enabling environments, including the legal recognition of social enterprises, impact investing tax incentives, and public procurement preferences [37, 38]. Outcome-based funding models, such as Development Impact Bonds, will allow tying public expenditures to measurable results.

### Invest in Research and Data Infrastructure

More empirical research is needed to analyse the long-term consequences of impact investing in terms of venture sustainability and social outcomes. A centralised repository of impact data, complemented by longitudinal research alliances, will enable better benchmarking and trend analysis, as well as evidence-based policy formulation [36].

### Use Digital Technology to Expand Further

Digital platforms can democratise access to impactful capital. Crowdfunding, transparency tools based on blockchain, and artificial intelligence-driven systems for due diligence can cut transaction costs, improve accountability, and increase participation of investors, especially small ones and ventures [40].

## Conclusion

SII was carefully viewed against these broad pieces of academic and practitioner literature to critically examine the way in which Social Impact Investing really helps scale innovative sustainable business ventures. The evidence indicates that SII goes beyond funding early-stage innovation to enabling ventures to extend their reach, deepen their effects, and ultimately improve financial viability. This intentionality, blended value creation, and emphasis on measurable impact distinguish it from conventional investment models. In fact, impact investors empower social enterprises to grow in alignment with their mission through patient capital and strategic support. However, the dual pursuit of financial and social returns creates inherent tensions, particularly when the choices made around scaling require trade-offs between market realities and social objectives.

Social readiness, systems for measuring impact, and the overall quality of ecosystems in which social ventures are placed are all closely tied to their ability to scale. Significant regional and sectoral differences can be gleaned from the literature indicating that the emerging economies suffer from constraints in terms of the regulatory support and investment frameworks unique to them. Moreover, although the field has made advances in developing impact frameworks, the lack of universal measurement standards still poses a challenge. Impact investing has the potential to not only widen market reach with sustainable solutions but also to redefine the very use of capital mobilisation for the public good. Meeting this potential will take far-reaching alignment between common goals and accountability standards among investors, entrepreneurs, and policy actors.

## Recommendations

Based on the review of literature, the following recommendations are put forward for key stakeholders:

For Impact Investors:

- Adopt flexible investment instruments (e.g., revenue-based financing, blended finance) that accommodate the needs of mission-driven ventures [2, 28, 35].
- Invest in capacity-building for investees, including impact measurement, governance, and operational scaling [5, 10].
- Strengthen transparency and trust through collaborative impact goal-setting and clear reporting expectations [3, 15, 33].

For Social Ventures:

- Embed impact measurement early into operations, using both quantitative and qualitative metrics to communicate value [16, 30, 31].
- Strategically plan for scale, balancing growth with mission integrity through organizational design and partnerships [7, 14, 24].

- Leverage ecosystem resources, including accelerators, incubators, and knowledge-sharing platforms to become investment-ready [5, 10, 25].

#### For Policymakers and Ecosystem Builders:

- Create enabling regulatory environments by recognizing legal forms for social enterprises and offering tax incentives or co-investment programs [19, 27].
- Facilitate blended finance solutions to de-risk impact investments and attract private capital into underserved markets [12, 27, 34].
- Support data infrastructure for standardized impact tracking and outcome verification across sectors and regions [30, 31].

#### For Researchers:

- Conduct comparative studies across geographies and sectors to identify context-specific best practices.
- Explore longitudinal impacts of SII on venture sustainability and systemic change.
- Investigate technology's role in democratizing access to impact capital (e.g., blockchain for traceable impact, AI for screening ventures).

### Acknowledgement

The author acknowledges anonymous reviewers for their insights, which significantly enhanced the quality of the study.

### Conflict of Interest

This research did not receive any funding, which may have derived advantages from the results. There is no sponsorship that played a part in the study's design, data collection, analysis, or publication decisions.

### References

1. Agrawal A. Impact investing: Framework and future research avenues. *Social Business*. 2018 Nov 30;8(3):293-317. <https://doi.org/10.1362/204440818X15434305418623>
2. Agrawal A, Hockerts K. Impact investing strategy: Managing conflicts between impact investor and investee social enterprise. *Sustainability*. 2019 Jul 30;11(15):4117. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11154117>
3. Agrawal A, Jespersen K. How do impact investors evaluate an investee social enterprise? A framework of impact investing process. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*. 2024 Jun 13;16(4):999-1022. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-04-2022-0129>
4. Aschari-Lincoln J, Jacobs CD. Enabling effective social impact: Towards a model for impact scaling agreements. *Sustainability*. 2018 Dec 7;10(12):4669. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124669>
5. Assenova V, Bacq S. Scaling for Good: Do Social Impact Accelerators Propel Social Venture Growth and Development?. Available at SSRN 4502946. 2024 Feb 27. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4502946>
6. Block JH, Hirschmann M, Fisch C. Which criteria matter when impact investors screen social enterprises?. *Journal of Corporate Finance*. 2021 Feb 1;66:101813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101813>
7. Bloom PN, Chatterji AK. Scaling social entrepreneurial impact. *California management review*. 2009 Apr;51(3):114-33. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166496>
8. Bocken NM. Sustainable venture capital—catalyst for sustainable start-up success?. *Journal of cleaner production*. 2015 Dec 1;108:647-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.05.079>

9. Bretos I, Diaz-Foncea M, Marcuello C. International expansion of social enterprises as a catalyst for scaling up social impact across borders. *Sustainability*. 2020 Apr 17;12(8):3262. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12083262>
10. Casasnovas G, Bruno AV. Scaling social ventures: An exploratory study of social incubators and accelerators. *Journal of Management for Global Sustainability*. 2013;1(2):12. <https://doi.org/10.13185/2244-6893.1030>
11. Clarkin JE, L. Cangioni C. Impact investing: A primer and review of the literature. *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*. 2016 Apr 1;6(2):135-73. <https://doi.org/10.1515/erj-2014-0011>
12. Constantino B, Kestenbaum F, Carvalho P. Venture capital reimaged: financing sustainability and resilience. In *The Elgar Companion to Energy and Sustainability* 2024 Nov 19 (pp. 73-89). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035307494.00011>
13. Dahl FK. Impact Investing: Analysing the Potential Trade-Off Between Social Impact and Financial Returns: Evidence from Private Equity and Venture Capital Funds (Master's thesis, Universidade Catolica Portuguesa (Portugal)). Retrieved from: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/7c1321fd6cb1e22c0a53f930280f8383/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2026366&diss=y>
14. Desa G, Koch JL. Scaling social impact: Building sustainable social ventures at the base-of-the-pyramid. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*. 2014 May 4;5(2):146-74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2013.871325>
15. Ebrahim A, Rangan VK. What impact? A framework for measuring the scale and scope of social performance. *California management review*. 2014 May;56(3):118-41. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2014.56.3.118>
16. Eckerle C. Impact Investment for Startups: Conceptualization and Design Support for Impact Assessment. <https://doi.org/10.5445/IR/1000179591>
17. El Ebrashi R, El-Batawy L. Dependence and resourcefulness: a typology of social impact scaling strategies. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*. 2024 May 3;15(2):336-76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2021.1968937>
18. Fernandes ED, Grochau IH, Ten Caten CS. Impact Investing: Determinants of External Financing of Social Enterprises in Brazil. *Sustainability*. 2023 Aug 3;15(15):11935. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151511935>
19. Glänzel G, Scheuerle T. Social impact investing in Germany: Current impediments from investors' and social entrepreneurs' perspectives. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*. 2016 Aug;27:1638-68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-015-9621-z>
20. Gutterman AS. Sustainable finance and impact investing. Business Expert Press; 2021 Feb 9.
21. Gutterman AS. Launching and Managing an Impact Investment Venture Capital Fund: A Guide for Fund Managers and Sustainable Entrepreneurs. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4944235>



22. Han J, Shah S. The ecosystem of scaling social impact: A new theoretical framework and two case studies. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*. 2020 May 3;11(2):215-39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2019.1624273>
23. Holtslag M, Chevrollier N, Nijhof A. Impact investing and sustainable market transformations: The role of venture capital funds. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*. 2021 Oct;30(4):522-37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12371>
24. Islam SM. Social impact scaling strategies in social enterprises: A systematic review and research agenda. *Australian Journal of Management*. 2022 May;47(2):298-321. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03128962211014931>
25. Jäger U, Symmes F, Cardoza G. *Scaling Strategies for Social Entrepreneurs*. Springer International Publishing; 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31160-5>
26. Jia X, Desa G. Social entrepreneurship and impact investment in rural–urban transformation: An orientation to systemic social innovation and symposium findings. In *Social innovation and sustainability transition* 2022 Nov 10 (pp. 283-305). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-18560-1\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-18560-1_20)
27. La Torre M, Trotta A, Chiappini H, Rizzello A. Business models for sustainable finance: The case study of social impact bonds. *Sustainability*. 2019 Mar 29;11(7):1887. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11071887>
28. Raghavendra, Naik K, Prabhu V. Investment Intentions Among Early-Career Professionals in Dakshina Kannada District in India: A Behavioral Perspective. *International Journal of Advances in Business and Management Research [Internet]*. 2025 Jun. 12 [cited 2025 Jul. 10];2(4):19-2. <https://doi.org/10.62674/ijabmr.2025.v2i04.003>
29. Lall SA, Park J. How social ventures grow: Understanding the role of philanthropic grants in scaling social entrepreneurship. *Business & Society*. 2022 Jan;61(1):3-44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650320973434>
30. Logue D, McAllister G, Schweitzer J. Social entrepreneurship and impact investing report. University of Technology Sydney; 2017 Jul 19. Retrieved from: <http://hdl.handle.net/10453/115083>
31. Moellmann J. *Measuring what Matters: Impact Measurement in New Sustainable Ventures* (Doctoral dissertation, Universität München).
32. O'Flynn P, Barnett C. Evaluation and impact investing: A review of methodologies to assess social impact. Retrieved from: [https://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/articles/report/Evaluation\\_and\\_Impact\\_Investing\\_A\\_Review\\_of\\_Methodologies\\_to\\_Assess\\_Social\\_Impact/26479189](https://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/articles/report/Evaluation_and_Impact_Investing_A_Review_of_Methodologies_to_Assess_Social_Impact/26479189)
33. Ogunfowora OA. Social impact measurement and impact investing for social enterprises. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom); 2019. Retrieved from: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/9f5f3557731c65875a40242d5000b654/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=51922&diss=y>
34. Phillips SD, Johnson B. Inching to impact: The demand side of social impact investing. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2021 Jan;168(3):615-29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04241-5>

35. Putrevu J, Mertzanis C, Kampouris I. Sustainable investment conditions, venture capital, and new business creation. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*. 2025 Dec;21(1):1-37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-025-01094-5>
36. Tewari S, Singh H, Wadhwa S, Tandon D. Scaling impact investment for sustainable development goals: An empirical analysis. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*. 2021 Oct 15;15(5). <https://doi.org/10.14453/aabfj.v15i5.2>
37. Vecchi V, Casalini F, Caselli S. Impact investing as a societal refocus of venture capital: The perspective of mature economies. In *Principles and Practice of Impact Investing* 2017 Sep 8 (pp. 62-80). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351284769-4>
38. Vecchi V, Casalini F, Balbo L, Caselli S. Impact Investing: a new asset class or a societal refocus of Venture Capital?. In *Public Private Partnerships for Infrastructure and Business Development: Principles, Practices, and Perspectives* 2015 Sep 10 (pp. 275-293). New York: Palgrave Macmillan US. [https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137541482\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137541482_15)
39. Viglialoro D, Botelho T, Ughetto E, Laspia A, Landoni P. Social impact business angels as new impact investors. *British Journal of Management*. 2025 Apr;36(2):707-25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12864>
40. Watts N, Scales IR. Social impact investing, agriculture, and the financialisation of development: Insights from sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development*. 2020 Jun 1;130:104918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.104918>
41. Shuchen Z. Government Expenditure on Economic Growth: Empirical Evidence from Ghana. *International Journal of Advances in Business and Management Research* [Internet]. 2024 Jun. 12 [cited 2025 Jul. 10];1(4):27-41. <https://doi.org/10.62674/ijabmr.2024.v1i04.004>